

<b>Description</b>	REARDON / MASTERS / VALCICH 042722		
<b>Date</b>	4/27/2022	<b>Location</b>	1A-CRT400
<b>Time</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Note</b>	
<a href="#">8:45:42 AM</a>		AARON VON EHLINGER CR01 21 34839 JURY TRIAL - DAY TWO	
<a href="#">8:45:43 AM</a>		Present: Katelyn Farley and Whitney Welsh for the State, Jon Cox for the defense, defendant ROR	
<a href="#">8:45:44 AM</a>	Cox	Further objection to Ex. 5. It appears that the State does not intend to call J.V. to the stand, but to rely on the statements made to Ms. Wardle in Ex. 5. This exhibit categorically deprives defendant the right to cross-examine those statements. Cites Coy v Iowa 487 US 1012	
<a href="#">8:49:24 AM</a>		My objection is that you reserve admission of this exhibit until whether or not the victim testifies and is subject to cross-examination. If she does not testify and I don't have an oppor to cross exa the statements in the exhibit, I would also ask that the Court strike Ms. Vega's statements to Ms. Wardle.	
<a href="#">8:50:45 AM</a>	Court	I'm mindful of your confrontational clause argument but it's premature. Rather than waste time on it now, let's take it up when it's important.	
<a href="#">8:51:16 AM</a>	Cox	I'm just preserving my appeal rights	
<a href="#">8:51:31 AM</a>		One other thing - If J.V. is called by the State, I may need to recall Ms. Wardle. Same for several witnesses.	
<a href="#">8:53:56 AM</a>	Court	Noted	
<a href="#">8:53:58 AM</a>	Farley	I redacted Ex. 5	
<a href="#">8:54:11 AM</a>	Court	Let's call it 5A.	
<a href="#">8:54:22 AM</a>	Court	Mr. Cox, review this and at some future break we'll talk about the redactions that have been made, etc.	
<a href="#">8:54:56 AM</a>	Farley	Re Mr. Cox's request for the Court to reserve admission of Ex. 5. Cite Ohio v Clark 576 US 237. Confrontational clause only applies to testimonial statements.	
<a href="#">8:55:19 AM</a>			
<a href="#">8:55:59 AM</a>		Additionally, Mr. Cox has now served Mr. Guy Hallam a subpoena.	
<a href="#">8:56:09 AM</a>	Cox	Not necessary now, withdraw that subpoena.	
<a href="#">8:56:36 AM</a>	Court	Based on your comments, I will consider the subpoena withdrawn.	

<a href="#">8:59:10 AM</a>		Jury reconvenes; all present
<a href="#">8:59:21 AM</a>	Farley	Calls State's next witness.
<a href="#">8:59:38 AM</a>		Monte Iverson (BPD detective) sworn; direct examination begins.
<a href="#">9:04:44 AM</a>		Ex. 1 identified (sexual assault kit)
<a href="#">9:05:20 AM</a>		Witness identifies defendant.
<a href="#">9:05:26 AM</a>		Ex. 7 handed to witness and identified (photograph of
<a href="#">9:05:47 AM</a>	Farley	Move to admit and publish Ex. 7.
<a href="#">9:05:56 AM</a>	Court	Basis for relevance?
<a href="#">9:06:01 AM</a>	Farley	To identify the individual.
<a href="#">9:06:08 AM</a>	Court	Not clear how this individual knows it's true and accurate and how it came into his possession.
<a href="#">9:06:26 AM</a>	Farley	Continues to examine witness.
<a href="#">9:06:26 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (foundation)
<a href="#">9:06:35 AM</a>	Farley	I can wait for the foundation of other witnesses.
<a href="#">9:08:27 AM</a>		Ex. 6 handed to witness and identified (photograph of building).
<a href="#">9:08:54 AM</a>	Farley	Move to admit and publish Ex. 6.
<a href="#">9:08:55 AM</a>	Cox	No objection.
<a href="#">9:08:58 AM</a>	Court	Exhibit 6 is admitted.
<a href="#">9:11:29 AM</a>		Ex. 3 identified (evidence envelope with business card)
<a href="#">9:12:24 AM</a>	Farley	Move to admit and publish Ex. 3.
<a href="#">9:12:25 AM</a>	Cox	No objection.
<a href="#">9:12:25 AM</a>	Court	Exhibit 3 is admitted.
<a href="#">9:16:07 AM</a>	Cox	Begins cross-examination.
<a href="#">9:18:18 AM</a>	Farley	Objection
<a href="#">9:18:31 AM</a>		//side bar//
<a href="#">9:19:07 AM</a>	Court	I'll overrule the objection.
<a href="#">9:19:27 AM</a>	Farley	Objection
<a href="#">9:19:31 AM</a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#">9:22:16 AM</a>	Farley	No redirect.
<a href="#">9:22:20 AM</a>		Witness is excused for now.
<a href="#">9:22:32 AM</a>	Cox	Except I have a subpoena for Det. Iverson if needed for later.

<a href="#">9:23:07 AM</a>	Farley	Calls next witness.
<a href="#">9:23:23 AM</a>		Brandon Joseph (BPD detective) sworn; direct examination begins.
<a href="#">9:25:20 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (foundation).
<a href="#">9:25:25 AM</a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#">9:25:37 AM</a>		Witness identifies defendant.
<a href="#">9:26:35 AM</a>		Ex. 2 identified (buckle swabs collected from defendant).
<a href="#">9:26:51 AM</a>	Cox	No objection to admission.
<a href="#">9:27:09 AM</a>	Court	By stipulation, Exhibit 2 is entered.
<a href="#">9:29:30 AM</a>		Ex. 4 handed to witness and identified (photos of texts from J.V.'s phone)
<a href="#">9:31:13 AM</a>	Cox	Begins cross-examination.
<a href="#">9:32:55 AM</a>	Farley	Begins redirect.
<a href="#">9:33:05 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (hearsay).
<a href="#">9:33:10 AM</a>	Court	I'll allow it.
<a href="#">9:33:28 AM</a>		Witness is excused for now.
<a href="#">9:34:12 AM</a>	Farley	Calls next witness.
<a href="#">9:34:17 AM</a>		
<a href="#">9:34:42 AM</a>	Court	I'm advised there'll be a small delay.
<a href="#">9:38:07 AM</a>		Kira Hughes (ISP Forensics) sworn; direct examination begins.
<a href="#">9:40:55 AM</a>		Ex. 1 identified (sexual assault kit)
<a href="#">9:41:30 AM</a>	Farley	Move to admit and publish Ex. 1.
<a href="#">9:41:30 AM</a>	Cox	No objection
<a href="#">9:41:31 AM</a>	Court	Exhibit 1 is admitted.
<a href="#">9:42:23 AM</a>		Exhibits 1.1 - 1.5 identified.
<a href="#">9:42:34 AM</a>	Farley	Move to admit Ex. 1.1 - 1.5
<a href="#">9:42:41 AM</a>	Cox	No objection
<a href="#">9:42:45 AM</a>	Court	Exhibits 1.1 - 1.5 are admitted.
<a href="#">9:46:05 AM</a>	Court	I appreciate that cell phones have been on silent, but we can still hear them vibrating, so please turn them completely off.
<a href="#">9:51:42 AM</a>	Cox	Begins cross-examination.
<a href="#">9:53:59 AM</a>	Farley	Begins redirect.
<a href="#">9:54:37 AM</a>		Witness is excused

<a href="#">9:54:53 AM</a>	Welsh	Calls State's next witness.
<a href="#">9:55:24 AM</a>		Chere Davis (J.V.'s mother) sworn; direct examination begins.
<a href="#">9:58:03 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (foundation, speculation)
<a href="#">9:58:08 AM</a>	Court	I'm also struggling with the relevance. Sustain the objeciton.
<a href="#">9:58:20 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (foundation, speculation, hearsay, relevance)
<a href="#">9:58:31 AM</a>	Welsh	Response
<a href="#">9:58:39 AM</a>	Court	I'll sustain the objection.
<a href="#">9:59:33 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (speculation)
<a href="#">9:59:38 AM</a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#">9:59:40 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (foundation). Move to strike.
<a href="#">9:59:54 AM</a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#">10:02:13 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (relevance)
<a href="#">10:02:17 AM</a>	Welsh	Response
<a href="#">10:02:28 AM</a>	Court	Let's take a brief recess and I'll take it up outside the presence of the jury.
<a href="#">10:02:46 AM</a>		Admonishes jury.
<a href="#">10:02:57 AM</a>		Jury in recess
<a href="#">10:03:41 AM</a>	Court	I'm unclear how this witness's understanding of what some other 3rd party did relates to... whose credibility are we talking about?
<a href="#">10:04:04 AM</a>	Welsh	It goes to the credibility of the victim and her behavior.
<a href="#">10:04:50 AM</a>	Cox	It's irrelevant under 403.
<a href="#">10:05:57 AM</a>	Court	Not sure I agree with that. But it doesn't come in through third-party witnesses; it only becomes relevant if J.V. testifies. So it's not relevant through this witness.
<a href="#">10:07:35 AM</a>	Welsh	Understood. But the State does intend to ask this witness about her general observations of her daughter in the time since the incident.
<a href="#">10:07:52 AM</a>	Cox	How does she distinguish between this incident with defendant and the doxing event?

<a href="#">10:08:17 AM</a>	Court	It becomes relevant through first-party testimony, but not through observations from this witness.
<a href="#">10:08:47 AM</a>		Admonishes witness.
<a href="#">10:09:37 AM</a>		Court in recess
<a href="#">10:14:51 AM</a>		
<a href="#">10:21:41 AM</a>		Court reconvenes; all parties present
<a href="#">10:21:42 AM</a>	Court	I'm told that Ms. Farley has one more witness after this one ...
<a href="#">10:21:53 AM</a>	Farley	Yes, then we'd ask for a recess before calling J.V. Dr. King would be after J.V.
<a href="#">10:22:42 AM</a>	Court	How long of a recess?
<a href="#">10:22:48 AM</a>	Farley	Could we take the lunch recess then?
<a href="#">10:22:56 AM</a>	Court	If we do that, we'd start the afternoon session no later than 12:45.
<a href="#">10:23:22 AM</a>		Jury reconvenes; all present
<a href="#">10:23:53 AM</a>	Welsh	Continues direct examination of Chere Davis.
<a href="#">10:25:01 AM</a>	Cox	Objection (hearsay)
<a href="#">10:25:06 AM</a>	Court	Sustained
<a href="#">10:26:09 AM</a>	Cox	Begins cross-examination.
<a href="#">10:27:05 AM</a>	Welsh	Objection (relevance)
<a href="#">10:27:10 AM</a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#">10:30:21 AM</a>	Welsh	Objection (hearsay)

<a href="#"><u>10:30:24 AM</u></a>	Court	Sustained
<a href="#"><u>10:30:30 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Move to strike
<a href="#"><u>10:30:33 AM</u></a>	Court	Admonishes jury.
<a href="#"><u>10:31:09 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Begins redirect.
<a href="#"><u>10:33:46 AM</u></a>		Witness excused subject to recall.
<a href="#"><u>10:34:13 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Calls next witness.
<a href="#"><u>10:34:54 AM</u></a>		Kimberly Blackburn (Assistant Sargent-at-Arms for Idaho House of Representatives) sworn; direct examination begins.
<a href="#"><u>10:45:22 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (relevance)
<a href="#"><u>10:45:26 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Response
<a href="#"><u>10:45:36 AM</u></a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#"><u>10:49:08 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (hearsay)
<a href="#"><u>10:49:13 AM</u></a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#"><u>10:51:45 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (calls for speculation, foundation)
<a href="#"><u>10:51:51 AM</u></a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#"><u>10:53:23 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection
<a href="#"><u>10:53:23 AM</u></a>	Court	Sustained
<a href="#"><u>10:53:30 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Response
<a href="#"><u>10:53:31 AM</u></a>	Court	Fair enough, I'll allow it.

<a href="#"><u>10:53:37 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (speculation)
<a href="#"><u>10:53:43 AM</u></a>	Court	That would be speculation.
<a href="#"><u>10:54:29 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (hearsay)
<a href="#"><u>10:54:33 AM</u></a>	Court	There isn't a question yet.
<a href="#"><u>10:55:34 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (hearsay)
<a href="#"><u>10:55:38 AM</u></a>	Court	Directs defendant to, without saying "she said", wait for Ms. Welsh's question.
<a href="#"><u>10:57:23 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection
<a href="#"><u>10:57:27 AM</u></a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#"><u>10:58:46 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (hearsay)
<a href="#"><u>10:58:49 AM</u></a>	Court	Hang on, the answer was she was in the bathroom.
<a href="#"><u>10:58:57 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection
<a href="#"><u>10:59:00 AM</u></a>	Court	There hasn't been another question yet.
<a href="#"><u>11:00:10 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (relevance)
<a href="#"><u>11:00:13 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Response
<a href="#"><u>11:00:24 AM</u></a>	Court	Sustain the objection.
<a href="#"><u>11:01:12 AM</u></a>	Cox	Objection (asked & answered)
<a href="#"><u>11:01:19 AM</u></a>	Court	Not sure it's been clearly answered.
<a href="#"><u>11:03:11 AM</u></a>	Cox	Begins cross-examination.

<a href="#"><u>11:05:37 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Objection (calls for opinion)
<a href="#"><u>11:05:42 AM</u></a>	Court	I'll sustain the objection - sounds like outside the scope.
<a href="#"><u>11:05:53 AM</u></a>	Cox	Response
<a href="#"><u>11:06:27 AM</u></a>	Welsh	Begins redirect.
<a href="#"><u>11:06:56 AM</u></a>		Witness is excused subject to recall.
<a href="#"><u>11:07:30 AM</u></a>	Farley	Would this be an appropriate time for a break, as we discussed earlier?
<a href="#"><u>11:07:45 AM</u></a>	Court	We'll take the lunch break now and reconvene at 12:45. Lunches should be here by 11:30am. Admonishes jury.
<a href="#"><u>11:08:39 AM</u></a>		Jury in recess
<a href="#"><u>11:09:21 AM</u></a>	Court	If J.V. does not testify, will you have your next witness ready to go to 12:45?
<a href="#"><u>11:09:40 AM</u></a>	Farley	Yes
<a href="#"><u>11:09:42 AM</u></a>	Court	We'll be in recess.
<a href="#"><u>11:10:04 AM</u></a>		Court in recess
<a href="#"><u>12:45:06 PM</u></a>		Court reconvenes; all parties present
<a href="#"><u>12:45:14 PM</u></a>	Court	Is it your expectation to call J.V.?
<a href="#"><u>12:45:15 PM</u></a>	Farley	Yes
<a href="#"><u>12:45:27 PM</u></a>	Court	The question has been raised as to whether or not the audio of her testimony could be broadcast. I made an exception to allow that for the rest of the witnesses, but not for J.V.
<a href="#"><u>12:46:05 PM</u></a>	Farley	Just for J.V.'s comfort, can we not have anyone sitting next to the camera?
<a href="#"><u>12:46:19 PM</u></a>	Court	Asks the cameraman to move a little ways.



<a href="#">12:46:25 PM</a>	Member of press in the gallery	We can air audio from other witnesses?
<a href="#">12:46:31 PM</a>	Court	Not this witness.
<a href="#">12:47:46 PM</a>		Jury reconvenes; all present
<a href="#">12:48:20 PM</a>	Farley	Calls next witness.
<a href="#">12:48:47 PM</a>		J.V. (victim) sworn; direct examination begins.
<a href="#">12:50:20 PM</a>		Witness identifies defendant.
<a href="#">12:52:26 PM</a>		//side bar//
<a href="#">12:56:38 PM</a>	Court	We're going to take a brief recess. Admonishes jury.
<a href="#">12:56:56 PM</a>		Jury in recess
<a href="#">12:57:43 PM</a>	Farley	Ask for direction from the Court. I can go see if she will reenter the courtroom and have a conversation with you.
<a href="#">12:58:05 PM</a>	Court	I'll give you a little time. I expect that if she doesn't return, I'll be asked to and will be obligated to strike her testimony.
<a href="#">12:58:36 PM</a>		On another issue, I thought about Mr. Cox's position with respect to Ex. 5. That exhibit is two things - it's a record of the statements reported to Ms. Wardle , and it's also her report, it's a document. I believe the statements are in evidence - I won't strike any of those. The document itself I don't think rises to the same level, so I'm not going to admit Ex. 5 as a document that would go to the jury.
<a href="#">1:00:17 PM</a>	Farley	Response
<a href="#">1:00:20 PM</a>	Court	All the statements she testified to - yes. She didn't testify to all the statements in this document, and without that supporting testimony the rest of the document isn't coming in.
<a href="#">1:00:55 PM</a>	Farley	Response
<a href="#">1:01:03 PM</a>	Court	There are a lot of statements in it that are different from what Ms. Wardle testified to - more expansive, more graphic than what Ms. Wardle testified to. If she had testified to those statements, those

		would be in front of the jury. Where she didn't testify to them, I'm not going to allow them to be presented simply on the document.
<a href="#">1:01:31 PM</a>		Let's take 10 minutes.
<a href="#">1:01:35 PM</a>		Court in recess
<a href="#">1:03:18 PM</a>		
<a href="#">1:07:17 PM</a>		
<a href="#">1:18:06 PM</a>		Court reconvenes; all parties present
<a href="#">1:18:07 PM</a>	Farley	J.V. is no longer in the courthouse. She's not in a state where we can ask her to return. Ask to adjourn for the day and assess whether or not she can return tomorrow.
<a href="#">1:18:36 PM</a>	Court	If I agree to a recess until tomorrow at 9:00, how long would you expect your testimony to take?
<a href="#">1:18:53 PM</a>	Farley	State would be prepared to rest tomorrow morning, after potentially J.V. and then Dr. King.
<a href="#">1:19:08 PM</a>	Cox	If I call witnesses, I'd expect it to take a couple of hours.
<a href="#">1:20:03 PM</a>	Court	If J.V. does not return, there's still likely to be a motion for mistrial.
<a href="#">1:20:26 PM</a>	Cox	Not at this point.
<a href="#">1:20:32 PM</a>	Court	Assuming the evidence doesn't change from this point on, do you anticipate a Rule 29 motion?
<a href="#">1:20:43 PM</a>	Cox	I do
<a href="#">1:20:45 PM</a>	Court	I think the evidence at this point is sufficient to survive a Rule 29 motion.
<a href="#">1:20:55 PM</a>	Cox	My motion will also include a motion to dismiss under Rule 48, and also under the 6th amendment confrontation clause and 4th amendment due process clause.
<a href="#">1:21:10 PM</a>	Court	I understand those arguments, but having determined that Ex. 5 is not going to the jury, all the statements that were testified to during the direct and cross of Ms. Wardle were those that fall under 803(4)
<a href="#">1:21:30 PM</a>	Cox	State has indicated the statements made were not testimonial, but they're using them for testimony.
<a href="#">1:21:42 PM</a>	Court	The notion of testimonial or not testimonial doesn't turn on whether or not those statements were elicited for purposes of testimony. In this case, I would find that they were not, and that's the basis for 803(4).
<a href="#">1:22:21 PM</a>	Cox	Rule 48 motion to dismiss in the interest of justice.
<a href="#">1:22:39 PM</a>	Court	I would not entertain a motion to dismiss under Rule 48.

<a href="#">1:22:54 PM</a>		That being said, I'm not interested in losing the rest of the day. If it's your intention to call Dr. King, Ms. Farley, I'd advise you to call her now.
<a href="#">1:23:26 PM</a>	Cox	I ask that she not be asked any questions about what just happened.
<a href="#">1:23:29 PM</a>	Court	She wasn't in the courtroom and didn't observe what just happened. It was my understanding she was just going to talk about dynamics. But I caution the State not to ask specific questions about what's happened.
<a href="#">1:24:03 PM</a>	Farley	State is prepared to discuss jury instructions today.
<a href="#">1:24:17 PM</a>	Cox	I'm not ready for that.
<a href="#">1:24:22 PM</a>	Court	Let's plan on a conference tomorrow morning.
<a href="#">1:24:50 PM</a>		My inclination at this point is not to inform the jury that she might be returning, but to tell them that they may not consider anything that she said, and when they're given this case for deliberation, they're to act as if she did not testify at all. That's if she does not return.
<a href="#">1:25:55 PM</a>		Jury reconvenes; all present
<a href="#">1:26:29 PM</a>	Court	Addresses jury. When a witness begins to testify and the opposing party is not given an opportunity to cross-examine that testimony, the initial testimony may not be considered by you. J.V.'s testimony as it stands now must not be considered by you in any manner.
<a href="#">1:27:32 PM</a>	Farley	Calls next witness.
<a href="#">1:27:55 PM</a>		Laura King (BSU professor) sworn; direct examination begins.
<a href="#">1:38:22 PM</a>	Cox	Objection (foundation).
<a href="#">1:38:35 PM</a>	Court	I understood the research of the response was within her expertise. It sounds like it's within the field of her studies, so I'll overrule the objection.
<a href="#">1:43:32 PM</a>	Cox	Objection (leading)
<a href="#">1:43:38 PM</a>	Court	Rephrase
<a href="#">1:45:38 PM</a>	Cox	Begins cross-examination.
<a href="#">1:52:33 PM</a>	Farley	Begins redirect.
<a href="#">1:53:39 PM</a>	Cox	Objection (foundation)
<a href="#">1:54:00 PM</a>	Court	I'll allow some exploration.
<a href="#">1:54:54 PM</a>	Cox	Objection.
<a href="#">1:54:56 PM</a>	Court	Sustained
<a href="#">1:55:15 PM</a>	Cox	Objection (beyond scope of cross)

<a href="#">1:55:26 PM</a>	Court	Overruled
<a href="#">1:55:39 PM</a>		Witness is excused.
<a href="#">1:55:54 PM</a>	Court	We need to take up some procedural matters. Admonishes jury.
<a href="#">1:56:19 PM</a>		Jury in recess
<a href="#">1:56:48 PM</a>	Court	Do I understand, Ms. Farley, that absent J.V. you have no other witnesses?
<a href="#">1:57:01 PM</a>	Farley	State anticipates at this point that, when the jury comes back in, the State would like to rest.
<a href="#">1:57:19 PM</a>	Cox	I'm not prepared to call witnesses today.
<a href="#">1:57:28 PM</a>	Court	Why not?
<a href="#">1:57:51 PM</a>	Cox	This has gone much faster than anticipated. I can start in the morning. Based on the state of the evidence at this point, I'll either call my client to testify, or I'll rest.
<a href="#">1:59:12 PM</a>	Court	Don't know if the State will have rebuttal at that point, but it seems reasonable to expect that we'll be able to get through jury instructions tomorrow.
<a href="#">1:59:47 PM</a>	Farley	May the witnesses be released from Mr. Cox's subpoenas?
<a href="#">2:00:07 PM</a>	Cox	If J.V. doesn't testify.
<a href="#">2:00:12 PM</a>	Farley	We are going to rest.
<a href="#">2:00:17 PM</a>	Cox	Then they can be released. All witnesses can be excused.
<a href="#">2:00:35 PM</a>	Court	Let's bring the jury back in.
<a href="#">2:01:38 PM</a>		Jury reconvenes; all present.
<a href="#">2:02:05 PM</a>	Farley	State rests.
<a href="#">2:02:13 PM</a>	Court	Addresses jury re the day having gone much more quickly than anticipated, so it's reasonable to give defense the evening to prepare. It's possible that you may have this for deliberation at some point tomorrow.
<a href="#">2:03:07 PM</a>		Admonishes jury.
<a href="#">2:03:13 PM</a>		Jury adjourns until 9am tomorrow.
<a href="#">2:03:50 PM</a>	Court	Mr. Cox, do you wish to make motions now?
<a href="#">2:04:03 PM</a>	Cox	I'd like to do that first thing in the morning.
<a href="#">2:04:11 PM</a>	Court	Let's take up motions and instructions at 8:30.
<a href="#">2:04:25 PM</a>	Farley	State's requests for instructions...
<a href="#">2:06:23 PM</a>		End of case

Produced by FTR Gold™  
[www.fortherecord.com](http://www.fortherecord.com)