

In the Supreme Court of the State of Idaho

**BRANDEN JOHN DURST, a qualified elector)
of the State of Idaho,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
v.)
)
IDAHO COMMISSION FOR)
REAPPORTIONMENT, and LAWRENCE)
DENNEY, Secretary of State of the State of)
Idaho, in his official capacity,)
)
Respondents.)**


Order Denying Motion for Clarification

Supreme Court Docket No. 49621

Petitioner, Branden Durst, has filed a Motion for Clarification. In essence, the motion for clarification is an effort to obtain an advisory ruling from the Court. This Court declines the invitation to provide an advisory opinion. *See In re Contest of Election*, 164 Idaho 102, 109, 425 P.3d 1245, 1252 (2018) (“[T]his Court does not issue advisory opinions, or offer legal advice to prospective litigants.”). The Motion for Clarification is denied.

DATED this 22nd day of November, 2021.

By Order of the Supreme Court



G. Richard Bevan, Chief Justice

ATTEST:



Melanie Gagnepain, Clerk

cc: Counsel of Record
Brandon John Durst

Counsel of Record
Idaho Commission for Reapportionment