Electronically Filed 10/24/2024 2:12 PM Fourth Judicial District, Ada County Trent Tripple, Clerk of the Court By: Jennifer Keyes, Deputy Clerk

Anne Taylor Law, PLLC Anne C. Taylor, Attorney at Law PO Box 2347 Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83816

Phone: (208) 512-9611

iCourt Email: info@annetaylorlaw.com

Jay W. Logsdon, First District Public Defender Idaho State Public Defender 1450 Northwest Blvd. Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83814 Phone: (208) 605-4575

Elisa G. Massoth, PLLC Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1003 Payette, Idaho 83661

Phone: (208) 642-3797; Fax: (208)642-3799

Assigned Attorney:

Anne C. Taylor, Attorney at Law, Bar Number: 5836

Jay W. Logsdon, First District Public Defender, Bar Number: 8759

Elisa G. Massoth, Attorney at Law, Bar Number: 5647

## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ADA

STATE OF IDAHO

**CASE NUMBER CR01-24-31665** 

Plaintiff,

V.

BRYAN C. KOHBERGER,

Defendant.

REPLY TO STATE'S OBJECTION TO DEFENDNAT'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DEATH PENALTY ON GROUNDS OF STATE SPEEDY TRIAL PREVENTING EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

COMES NOW, Bryan C. Kohberger, by and through his attorneys of record, and submits the following Reply to the State's Objection to his Motion for an Order striking the State's Notice Pursuant to Idaho Code § 19-4004A on the grounds that Idaho's statutory and

constitutional guarantee to a speedy trial prevents effective assistance of counsel in death penalty

cases.

Interestingly, the State impliedly concedes that having to choose between two

constitutional rights would violate the constitution by focusing its entire brief on what the Idaho

Constitution guarantees a defendant by way of a speedy trial. The State denies that the Idaho

Constitution guarantees a particular time frame for a trial, relying on cases from the Idaho

Supreme Court that Mr. Kohberger argued must be overruled.

The State provides no authority that supports these cases, it merely insists that they are

the authority and must be followed. This is unsurprising, as these cases lack the sort of analysis

typically seen in cases considering what the constitution meant when it was ratified. Compare

State v. Lindsay, 96 Idaho 474, 475 (1975), with State v. Clarke, 165 Idaho 393, 397, 446 P.3d

451, 455 (2019)).

Without any argument as to what the Idaho Constitution's speedy trial right meant to the

framers, the State's objection provides little for Mr. Kohberger to respond to. He asks this Court

to analyze the Idaho Constitution's guarantee and recognize that the framers expected better than

the Barker factors to protect citizens from the government.

DATED this  $\underline{24}$  day of October, 2024.

BY:

JAY W. LOGSDON

Jay Topsden

FIRST DISTRICT PUBLIC DEFENDER

REPLY TO STATE'S OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DEATH PENALTY ON GROUNDS OF STATE SPEEDY TRIAL PREVENTING EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

## **CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was personally served as indicated below on the  $\frac{24}{2}$  day of October, 2024 addressed to:

Latah County Prosecuting Attorney –via Email: <a href="mailto:paservice@latahcountyid.gov">paservice@latahcountyid.gov</a>

Elisa Massoth – via Email: <a href="mailto:legalassistant@kmrs.net">legalassistant@kmrs.net</a>
Jay Logsdon – via Email: <a href="mailto:jay.Logsdon@spd.idaho.gov">jay.Logsdon@spd.idaho.gov</a>
Ingrid Batey – via Email: <a href="mailto:ingrid.batey@ag.idaho.gov">ingrid.batey@ag.idaho.gov</a>

Jeff Nye – via Email: jeff.nye@ag.idaho.gov

REPLY TO STATE'S OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE DEATH PENALTY ON GROUNDS OF STATE SPEEDY TRIAL PREVENTING EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL